arXiv:math/0303064v1 [math.CA] 5 Mar 2003

REARRANGEMENTS OF TRIGONOMETRIC SERIES AND TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS

S. V. Konyagin

Abstract. The paper is related to the following question of P. L. Ul'yanov: is it true that for any 2π-periodic continuous function f there is a uniformly convergent rearrangement of its trigonometric Fourier series? In particular, we give an affirmative answer if the absolute values of Fourier coefficients of f decrease. Also, we study a problem how to choose m terms of a trigonometric polynomial of degree n to make the uniform norm of their sum as small as possible.

AMS subject classification:42A20; 42A05, 42A61.
Key words: trigonometric polynomials, trigonometric Fourier series, uniform convergence.

§1. Introduction

P. L. Ul'yanov[Ul] raised the following question. Is it true that for any 2π-periodic continuous function f there is a uniformly convergent rearrangement of its trigonometric Fourier series? The problem is still open.

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z}$, $C(\mathbb{T})$ be the space of the continuous functions $f : \mathbb{T} \to \mathbb{C}$, $\|f\|$ be the uniform norm of $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$. We associate with every function $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ its Fourier series in a complex form

$$f \sim \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} c_k e^{ikx}$$

and in a real form

$$f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(x), \quad A_k(x) = d_k \cos(kx + \phi_k).$$

Observe that $A_k(x) = c_k e^{ikx} + c_{-k} e^{-ikx}$. It is easy to see that if Ul'yanov's conjecture is true for the series in a real form (that is, there is a permutation σ of $\mathbb{N}$ such that $\|f - d_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{\sigma(k)}\| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$) then it is also true for the series in a complex form because for $n \to \infty$

$$\left\| f - d_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(c_{\sigma(k)} e^{i\sigma(k)x} + c_{-\sigma(k)} e^{-i\sigma(k)x} \right) \right\| \to 0.$$

Sz.Gy. Révész[R, R2] proved that for any $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ there is a rearrangement of its trigonometric Fourier series such that some subsequence of the sequence of partial

Typeset by $\mathcal{AMS}$-TEX

sums of a rearranged series converges to f uniformly. Due to this result, Ul'yanov's conjecture is equivalent to the following: there is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for any trigonometric polynomial (with a zero constant term) $\sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x)$ there is a permutation $\sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that for $m = 1, \dots, n$

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m A_{\sigma(k)}(x) \right\| \le C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x) \right\|.$$

It is known that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m A_k(x) \right\| \le C \log(n+1) \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x) \right\|$$

(see [Z, chapter 2, §12]). Let

$$\omega(f, \delta) = sup_{\substack{x,y \in \mathbb{T} \\ |x-y| \le \delta}} |f(x) - f(y)|$$

be the modulus of continuity of f. By Dini—Lipschitz theorem [Z, chapter 2, §10], if $\omega(f, \delta) = o(1/\log 1/\delta)$ as $\delta \to 0$ then the Fourier series of f converges to f uniformly. Moreover, the condition on $\omega(f, \delta)$ is sharp and cannot be replaced by $\omega(f, \delta) = O(1/\log 1/\delta)$[Z, chapter 8, §2].

The author[K, K2] proved the following results.

Theorem 1. *For any trigonometric polynomial $\sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x)$ there is a permutation $\sigma : \{1, \dots, n\} \to \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that for $m = 1, \dots, n$*

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m A_{\sigma(k)}(x) \right\| \le C \log\log(n+2) \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x) \right\|.$$

Theorem 2. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ and $\omega(f, \delta) = o(1/\log\log 1/\delta)$ as $\delta \to 0$. Then there is a permutation $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that*

$$\left\| f - d_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n A_{\sigma(k)}(x) \right\| \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).$$

Theorem 2 follows from Theorem 1 by using Theorem 5 from [R].

To approach Ul'yanov's conjecture, one can try to prove that there is an absolute constant $C > 0$ such that for any trigonometric polynomial (with a zero constant term) $\sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x)$ and for any $m \le n$ there is an injection $\sigma : \{1, \dots, m\} \to \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k=1}^m A_{\sigma(k)}(x) \right\| \le C \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x) \right\|.$$

I cannot prove this either.

Theorem 3. *For any trigonometric polynomial $\sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x)$ and for any $m \le n$ there is a set $K \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|K| = m$ and*

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in K} A_k(x) \right\| \le C \log\log\log(n+20) \left\| \sum_{k=1}^n A_k(x) \right\|.$$

Theorem 4. *Let $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$,*

$$f \sim \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} A_k(x), \quad A_k(x) = d_k \cos(kx + \phi_k),$$

and $d_k = O(k^{-1/2})$. Then there is a permutation $\mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\| f - d_0 - \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_{\sigma(k)}(x) \right\| \to 0 \quad (n \to \infty).$$

In particular, Theorem 4 works if the sequence $\{|d_k|\}$ is nonincreasing. Note that, by theorem of Salem[S], there exists an even continuous function such that its Fourier series diverges at $x = 0$ and the sequence $\{|d_k|\}$ is nonincreasing,

By $C, C', C_1, C_2, \dots$ we denote positive constants Let $[u]$ and $\{u\}$ be the integral and the fractional part of a real number u, respectively.

§2. Proof of Theorem 3

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$, T be a trigonometric polynomial,

$$T(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} A_k(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} d_k \cos(kx + \phi_k).$$

We use the following lemmas from [K2].

Lemma 1. *Let $\|T\| \le 1$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K_{l,j} = \{k : \ 1 \le k \le n, \ k \equiv \pm j (\mathrm{mod} l)\}$. Then*

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in K_{l,j}} A_k \right\| \le 2.$$

Lemma 2. *Let $\|T\| \le 1$. Then there exists an odd prime $p \le 2\log^3(n+3)$ such that*

$$\sum_{\substack{k_1 \ne k_2 \\ k_1 \equiv k_2 (\mathrm{mod} p)}} |d_{k_1}|^2 |d_{k_2}|^2 \le \frac{C_1}{\log^2(n+1)}. \tag{1}$$

Lemma 3. *Let p be a prime satisfying (1), $j \in \mathbb{Z}$, $K_{p,j} = \{k : \ 1 \le k \le n, \ k \equiv \pm j (\mathrm{mod} p)\}$, $N_j = |K_{p,j}|$. Then there exists a bijection $\tau : \{1, \dots, N_j\} \to K_{p,j}$ such that for any $m = 1, \dots, N_j$ the inequality*

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{\tau(j)} \right\| \le C_2(1 + \|T\|)$$

holds.

In the proof of Theorem 3 we assume that n is sufficiently large and and $\|T\| \le 1$. We can also assume that $m \le n/2$; otherwise we can take the complement to a set constructed for $n - m < n/2$ instead of m. Also, it is sufficient to construct a set $K' \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|K'| = m'$ for some $m' \le m$, $m - m' \le 0.2n/\log^3 n$, and

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in K'} A_k(x) \right\| \le C' \log\log\log n.$$

Indeed, take an odd prime $p \le 2\log^3(n+3)$ satisfying Lemma 2. Define the sets $K_{p,j}$ as in Lemma 3. Since $|K'| \le n/2$, we can find j so that

$$|K_{p,j} \setminus K'| \ge (n - |K'|)/p \ge n/(4\log^3(n+3)) \ge 0.2n/\log^3 n$$

provided that $n \ge 20$. Applying Lemma 3 to the polynomial

$$\sum_{k \in \{1,\dots,n\} \setminus K'} A_k,$$

we can define the set K as $K' \cup \{\tau(1), \dots, \tau(m)\}$ where m is such that

$$\{\tau(1), \dots, \tau(m)\} \setminus K' = m - m'.$$

By the above arguments we can consider that $m > 0.2n/\log^3 n$; otherwise, we take $m' = 0$ and $K' = \emptyset$.

We shall use the following known fact.

Lemma 4. *For any real $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ there exist positive integers $l_1, l_2, \dots,$ such that for any positive integer s*

$$0 < \alpha - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{l_j} \le 2^{-2^{s-1}}. \tag{2}$$

Proof of Lemma 4. We construct l_s consequently:

$$l_s = \min\{l : \alpha - \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} \frac{1}{l_j} - \frac{1}{l} > 0\}.$$

The inequalities (2) can be checked by induction on s. The proof of the first inequality is straightforward. The induction base for the second inequality holds: $\alpha - 1/l_1 \le 1/2$.

By induction supposition (2), we have

$$l_{s+1} - 1 \ge 2^{2^{s-1}}.$$

Also, by the definition of l_{s+1},

$$\alpha - \sum_{j=1}^{s} \frac{1}{l_j} - \frac{1}{l_{s+1} - 1} \le 0.$$

Therefore,

$$\alpha - \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} \frac{1}{l_j} \le \frac{1}{l_{s+1}-1} - \frac{1}{l_{s+1}} < \frac{1}{(l_{s+1}-1)^2} \le 2^{-2^s},$$

and (2) is established for $s+1$. Lemma 4 is proved.

Take $s = [2 \log\log\log n]$. Note that for sufficiently large n we have

$$2^{-2^{s-1}} \le 0.05/\log^3 n. \tag{3}$$

One can try to define the numbers $l_1, \dots, l_s$ by Lemma 3 with α close to m/n and to take, for example,

$$K' = \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} K_j, \quad K_j = \{k \equiv \pm 1 (\mathrm{mod} 2l_j)\},$$

By Lemma 1,

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in K_j} A_k \right\| \le 2$$

and $\sum_j |K_j|$ is close to m. However, the sets K_j might have common points, and in general we cannot give good estimates for $\left\|\sum_{k\in K'} A_k\right\|$ and for $|K'|$. We show how to correct the construction.

Let $l_0 = [5 \log\log\log n]$, $\gamma = l_0 m/n - 0.1/\log^3 n$, $g = [\gamma]$, $\alpha = \{\gamma\}$. Note that $g \ge 0$ because of our supposition $m > 0.2n/\log^3 n$. Take the numbers $l_1, \dots, l_s$ in accordance with Lemma 4 and define

$$K' = \bigcup_{j=1}^{\gamma} K_j \cup \bigcup_{j=1}^{s} K'_j,$$

where $K_j = \{k \equiv \pm j (\mathrm{mod} 2l_0)\}$, $K'_j = \{k \pm (g+j) \equiv 0 (\mathrm{mod} 2ll_j)\}$. Note that the residues classes $\pm j (\mathrm{mod} 2l)$ $(j = 1, \dots, \gamma + s)$, are all distinct since $\gamma + s \le l_0/2 + s < l_0 - 1$. Therefore, the sets K_j, K'_j are pairwise disjoint. Further, by Lemma 1,

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in K_j} A_k \right\| \le 2, \quad \left\| \sum_{k \in K'_j} A_k \right\| \le 2.$$

Hence,

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in K'} A_k \right\| \le 2(g+s) \le 10 \log\log\log n.$$

Also, it is not difficult to check that

$$||K_j| - n/l_0| \le 1, ||K'_j| - n/(l_0 l_j)| \le 1.$$

Therefore,

$$|K'| = n\gamma/l_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{s} n/(l_0 l_j) + O(\log\log\log n).$$

Taking into account (2) and (3), we get

$$n\gamma/l_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{s} n/(l_0 l_j) \le m - 0.1n/\log^3 n,$$

$$n\gamma/l_0 + \sum_{j=1}^{s} n/(l_0 l_j) \ge m - 0.1n/\log^3 n - 0.05n/\log^3 n.$$

Combining three last inequalities, we obtain

$$m \ge |K'| \ge m - 0.2n/\log^3 n,$$

as required. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

§3. Spencer's theorem and its corollaries

Let u be a vector: $u = (u^1, \dots, u^n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Denote $|u|_\infty = \max_k |u^k|$.
J. Spencer[Sp] actually proved the following theorem.

Theorem A. *Let $r \le n$ be positive integers, $u_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|u_j|_\infty \le 1$. Then for some choice of signs*

$$|\pm u_1 \pm \dots \pm u_r|_\infty \le C_3 (r \log(2n/r))^{1/2}.$$

Corollary 1. *Let $r \le n$ be positive integers and $K \subset \{1, \dots, n\}$, $|K| = r$. Consider a trigonometric polynomial*

$$\sum_{k \in K} A_k(x), \quad A_k(x) = d_k \cos(kx + \phi_k).$$

Then there are sets $K_+ \subset K$ and $K_- \subset K$ such that

$$K_+ \cup K_- = K, \quad K_+ \cap K_- = \emptyset, \quad |K_+| = |K|/2 \tag{4}$$

and

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in K_+} A_k - \sum_{k \in K_-} A_k \right\| \le C_4 (r \log(2n/r))^{1/2} \max_{k \in K} |d_k|. \tag{5}$$

Proof. Denote $d = \max_{k \in K} |d_k|$. We apply Theorem A to the vectors $u_k \in \mathbb{R}^{10n+1}$, $k \in K$, defined as

$$u_k = (\Re(A_x(\pi l/(5n))/d)_{l=0,\dots,2n-1},$$
$$\Im(A_x(\pi l/(5n))/d)_{l=0,\dots,2n-1}, 1).$$

Then there exist numbers $\sigma_k = \pm 1$ $(k \in K)$ such that

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in K} \sigma_k A_k \right\| \le 3 C_3 (r \log((20n+2)/r))^{1/2} d \tag{6}$$

and

$$\left|\sum_{k\in K}\sigma_k\right| \le C_3(r\log((20n+2)/r))^{1/2}. \tag{7}$$

For the proof of (6) we use that for any trigonometric polynomial T of order n

$$\|T\| \le 3 \max_{l=0,\dots,10n-1} |T(\pi l/(5n))|$$

(see, for example, [Kl]). Without loss of generality we can assume that $\sum_{k\in K}\sigma_k \le 0$. Take $K'_+ = \{k\in K:\ \sigma_k = 1\}$, $K'_- = \{k\in K:\ \sigma_k = -1\}$. We have

$$2|K'_+| = |K| + \sum_{k\in K}\sigma_k \le 2[|K|/2].$$

Take an arbitrary set $K_1 \subset K_-$ such that $|K_-| = [|K|/2] - |K'_+|$. By (7), $|K_1| \le C_3(r\log((20n+2)/r))^{1/2}/2$. Hence,

$$\left\|\sum_{k\in K_1} A_k\right\| \le C_3(r\log((20n+2)/r))^{1/2}d. \tag{8}$$

Denote $K_+ = K'_+ \cup K_1$, $K_- = K'_- \setminus K_1$. The conditions (4) are satisfied. By (6) and (8) we get

$$\left\|\sum_{k\in K_+} A_k - \sum_{k\in K_-} A_k\right\| \le 3.5C_3(r\log((20n+2)/r))^{1/2}d.$$

Therefore, (5) also holds, and Corollary 1 is proved.

Corollary 2. *Let $r \le n$ be positive integers and $K \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$, $|K| = r$. Consider a trigonometric polynomial*

$$\sum_{k\in K}\alpha_k A_k(x), \quad A_k(x) = d_k\cos(kx+\phi_k),$$

where α_k are real numbers. Then there are numbers $\beta_k \in \{[\alpha_k], [\alpha_k]+1\}$ such that

$$\left\|\sum_{k\in K}\alpha_k A_k - \sum_{k\in K}\beta_k A_k\right\| \le C_4(r\log(2n/r))^{1/2}\max_{k\in K}|d_k|.$$

In fact, the deduction of Corollary 2 from Corollary 1 is exhibited in [Kl].

Corollary 3. *Let r, n be positive integers, $r \le n/5$ and $K \subset \{1,\dots,n\}$, $|K| = r$. Consider a trigonometric polynomial*

$$\sum_{k\in K} A_k(x), \quad A_k(x) = d_k\cos(kx+\phi_k).$$

Then there exists a bijection $\sigma : \{1, \dots, r\} \to K$ such that for any $m = 1, \dots, r$ the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{\sigma(j)} - \frac{m}{r} \sum_{k \in K} A_k \right\| \le (4C_4 + 4)(r \log(2n/r))^{1/2} \max_{k \in K} |d_k| \tag{9}$$

holds.

Proof. Denote $d = \max_{k \in K} |d_k|$. We fix n and use induction on r. If $r \le 8$ then we take an arbitrary bijection σ. For any $m \le r$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{\sigma(j)} - \frac{m}{r} \sum_{k \in K} A_k \right\| \le md + \frac{m}{r}(rd) \le 2md$$
$$\le 2rd = (2r)^{1/2}(2r)^{1/2} d \le 4(r \log(2n/r))^{1/2} d,$$

and (9) holds. Let us assume that $9 \le r \le n/5$ and that the statement of the corollary is satisfied for all $r' < r$.

By Corollary 1, we split the sets K into the sets K_+ and K_-. The inequality (5) can be rewritten as

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in K_+} A_k - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k \in K} A_k \right\| \le \frac{C_4}{2} (r \log(2n/r))^{1/2} d.$$

We have

$$\left\| \sum_{k \in K_+} A_k - \frac{[r/2]}{r} \sum_{k \in K} A_k \right\| \le \frac{C_4}{2} (r \log(2n/r))^{1/2} d$$
$$+ \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{[r/2]}{r} \right) \left\| \sum_{k \in K} A_k \right\| \le \frac{C_4}{2} (r \log(2n/r))^{1/2} d$$
$$+ \frac{1}{2r}(rd) = \frac{C_4}{2} (r \log(2n/r))^{1/2} d + d/2$$
$$\le \frac{C_4 + 1}{2} (r \log(2n/r))^{1/2} d. \tag{10}$$

By the induction supposition, there exist bijections $\sigma_+ : \{1, \dots, [r/2]\} \to K_+$ and $\sigma_+ : \{1, \dots, r - [r/2]\} \to K_-$ such that for any $m \le [r/2]$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{\sigma_+(j)} - \frac{m}{r_1} \sum_{k \in K_+} A_k \right\| \le (4C_4 + 4)(r_1 \log(2n/r_1))^{1/2} d, \quad r_1 = [r/2], \tag{11}$$

and for any $m \le r - [r/2]$

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{\sigma_-(j)} - \frac{m}{r_1} \sum_{k \in K_-} A_k \right\|$$
$$\le (4C_4 + 4)(r_1 \log(2n/r_1))^{1/2} d, \quad r_1 = r - [r/2]. \tag{12}$$

We take $\sigma(j) = \sigma_+(j)$ for $j \le [r/2]$ and $\sigma(j) = \sigma_-(r+1-j)$ for $j > [r/2]$. If $m \le [r/2]$ then we have, by (10) and (11),

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{\sigma(j)} - \frac{m}{r} \sum_{k \in K} A_k \right\| &\le \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{\sigma_+(j)} - \frac{m}{r_1} \sum_{k \in K_+} A_k \right\| \\
&+ \left\| \frac{m}{r_1} \sum_{k \in K_+} A_k - \frac{m}{r} \sum_{k \in K} A_k \right\| \\
&\le \left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{\sigma_+(j)} - \frac{m}{r_1} \sum_{k \in K_+} A_k \right\| \\
&+ \left\| \sum_{k \in K_+} A_k - \frac{[r/2]}{r} \sum_{k \in K} A_k \right\| \\
&\le (4C_4 + 4)(r_1 \log(2n/r_1))^{1/2} d \\
&+ \frac{C_4 + 1}{2} (r \log(2n/r))^{1/2} d, \quad r_1 = [r/2]. \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

Further, for $r_1 = [r/2]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(r_1 \log(2n/r_1))^{1/2} &\le \left(\frac{r}{2} \log(2n/r \times 9/4) \right)^{1/2} \\
&< \left(\frac{r}{2} \times \frac{3}{2} \log(2n/r) \right)^{1/2} < \left(\frac{3}{4} r \log(2n/r) \right)^{1/2} \\
&< \frac{7}{8} (r \log(2n/r))^{1/2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting the last inequality into (13) we get the required

$$\left\| \sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{\sigma(j)} - \frac{m}{r} \sum_{k \in K} A_k \right\| \le (4C_4 + 4)(r \log(2n/r))^{1/2} d.$$

If $m > [r/2]$, then, similarly to (13), e have

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|\sum_{j=1}^{m} A_{\sigma(j)} - \frac{m}{r}\sum_{k\in K} A_k\right\| \\ &= \left\|\sum_{j=1}^{r-m} A_{\sigma_-(j)} - \frac{r-m}{r}\sum_{k\in K} A_k\right\| \\ &\le (4C_4+4)(r_1\log(2n/r_1))^{1/2}d \\ &+\frac{C_4+1}{2}(r\log(2n/r))^{1/2}d, \quad r_1 = r-[r/2]. \end{aligned} \tag{14}$$

For $r_1 = [r/2]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}(r_1\log(2n/r_1))^{1/2} &\le \left(\frac{5r}{9}\log(2n/r\times 2)\right)^{1/2} \\ &< \left(\frac{5r}{9}\times\frac{4}{3}\log(2n/r)\right)^{1/2} < \left(\frac{3}{4}r\log(2n/r)\right)^{1/2} \\ &< \frac{7}{8}(r\log(2n/r))^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

and after substitution of the last inequality into (14) we complete the proof of Corollary 3.

§4. Proof of Theorem 4

We use Vallée Poussin sums defined for positive integers $n > m$ as

$$V_{m,n}(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{m} A_k(x) + \sum_{k=m+1}^{n} \frac{n-k}{n-m}A_k(x).$$

It is known that for any $f \in C(\mathbb{T})$ there is a function $n : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ such that $n(m) > m$ for all m, $\lim_{m\to\infty} n(m)/m = 1$ and $\lim_{m\to\infty}\|V_{m,n} - f\| = 0$ (this follows, for example, from [D] or from [St]). We define the increasing sequence of positive integers $\{N_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\mathbb{N}}$ by $N_1 = 1$, $N_{\lambda+1} = n(N_\lambda)$ for $\lambda \ge 1$.

We fix $\lambda \ge 1$, take $m = N_\lambda$, $n = N_{\lambda+1}$ and use Corollary 2 for $K_\lambda = \{m+1,\dots,n\}$, $\alpha_k = \frac{n-k}{n-m}$. We find that there are numbers $\beta_k \in \{0,1\}$, $k \in K$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\left\|V_{m,n} - \sum_{k=0}^{m} A_k - \sum_{k\in K}\beta_k A_k\right\| \\ &\ll (((n-m)/n)\log((2n)/(n-m)))^{1/2} \to 0 \quad (\lambda\to\infty).\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

Also, by the choice of the sequence $\{N_\lambda\}\}$, we have $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty}\|V_{m,n} - f\| = 0$. Therefore, denoting

$$L_\lambda = \{1,\dots,m\} \cup \{k \in K_\lambda :\ \beta_k = 1\}$$

we get

$$\left\| f - d_0 - \sum_{k \in L_\lambda} A_k \right\| \to 0 \quad (\lambda \to \infty). \tag{16}$$

To complete the proof, it is enough, by (16), to find a good permutation of the terms of the polynomials

$$\sum_{k \in L_{\lambda+1} \setminus L_\lambda} A_k.$$

We construct a permutation in such a way that the numbers from $L_\lambda \setminus L_{\lambda-1}$ precede the numbers from $L_{\lambda+1} \setminus L_\lambda$ for all λ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{N}$; we consider that $L_0 = \emptyset$. The permutation can be constructed by Corollary 3, the partial sums can be estimated similarly to (16), and we are done.

REFERENCES

[D] V. Damen, Best approximations and de la Vallée Poussin sums (Russian), Mat. Zametki, 23 (1978), pp. 671–683.

[K] S. V. Konyagin, On rearrangements of trigonometric Fourier series, (Russian), Vsesoyuznaya shkola "Teoriya priblizheniya funkciy". Tezisy dokladov, p. 80. Kiev, 1989.

[K2] S. V. Konyagin, On uniformly converging rearrangements of trigonometric Fourier series, (Russian), Metric theory of functions and related problems in analysis (Russian), pp. 101–111, Izd. Nauchno-Issled. Aktuarno-Finans. Tsentra (AFTs), Moscow, 1999.

[Kl] M. N. Kolountzakis, On nonnegative cosine polynomials with nonnegative integral coefficients, Proc. AMS, 120 (1994), pp. 157–163.

[R] Sz.Gy. Révész, Rearrangement of Fourier series, J. Appr. Theory, 60 (1990), pp. 101–121

[R2] Sz.Gy. Révész, On the convergence of Fourier series of U.A.P. functions, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 151 (1990), pp. 308–317.

[S] R. Salem, On a problem of Smithies, Indag. Math., 16 (1954), pp. 403–407.

[Sp] J. Spencer, Six standard deviation suffice, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 289 (1985), pp. 679–706.

[St] S. B. Stechkin, On the approximation of periodic functions by de la Vallée Poussin sums, Anal. Math., 4 (1978), pp. 61–74.

[Ul] P. L. Ul'yanov, Solved and unsolved problems in the theory of trigonometric and orthogonal series, (Russian), Uspehi Mat. Nauk, 19 (1964), N. 1(115), pp. 3–69.

[Z] A. Zygmund, Trigonometric series, v. 1. Cambridge, The University Press, 1959.